

MARK ANTHONY COLEMAN,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	No. C 08-4256 TEH (PR)
)	
vs.)	ORDER OF TRANSFER
)	
WARDEN, WASCO STATE PRISON,)	(Docket No. 2)
)	
Defendant.)	
)	


When jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity, venue is proper in the district in which (1) any defendant resides, if all of the defendants reside in the same state, (2) the district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Where a case is filed in the wrong venue, the district court has the discretion either to dismiss the case or transfer it to the proper federal court “in the interest of justice.” 28 U.S.C. §

1 1406(a). Venue may be raised by the court sua sponte where the defendant has not yet
2 filed a responsive pleading and the time for doing so has not run. Costlow v. Weeks,
3 790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th Cir. 1986).

4 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, in the interest of justice and pursuant to 28
5 U.S.C. § 1406(a), this action be TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for
6 the Eastern District of California. In light of the transfer, this Court will not rule on
7 Plaintiff's pending motion seeking to proceed in forma pauperis (docket no. 2). The
8 Clerk shall transfer this matter forthwith.

9 SO ORDERED.

10
11 DATED: 09/15/08



THELTON E. HENDERSON
United States District Judge